Risk Register

Identification of Risks:

These are the risks that threaten the achievement of the Pension Fund's objectives. Risks have been analysed between:

- Funding, including delivering the funding strategy;
- Investment;
- Governance
- Operational; and
- Regulatory.

Key to Scoring

	Impact	Financial	Reputation	Performance					
5	Most severe	Over £100m	Ministerial intervention, Public inquiry, remembered for years	Achievement of Council priority					
4	Major	Between £10m and £100m	Adverse national media interest or sustained local media interest	Council priority impaired or service priority not achieved					
3	Moderate	Between £1m and £10m	One off local media interest	Impact contained within directorate or service priority impaired.					
2	Minor	Between £100k and £500k	A number of complaints but no media interest	Little impact on service priorities but operations disrupted					
1	Insignificant	Under £100k	Minor complaints	Operational objectives not met, no impact on service priorities.					

Likelihood

4	Very likely	This risk is very likely to occur (over 75% probability)
3	Likely	There is a distinct likelihood that this will happen (40%-75%)
2	Possible	There a possibility that this could happen (10% - 40%)
1	Unlikely	This is not likely to happen but it could (less than 10% probability)

RAG Status/Direction of Travel

	Risk requires urgent attention
	Risks needs to be kept under regular review
	Risk does not require any attention in short term
↑	Overall Risk Rating Score is Increasing (Higher risk)
\leftrightarrow	Risk Rating Score is Stable
\downarrow	Overall Risk Rating Score is Reducing (Improving Position)

Ref	Risk	Risk Category	Cause	Impact	Risk Owner	Controls in Place to Mitigate Risk	Cur	rent Risk Ra	ting	RAG Status and Direction of Travel	Further Actions Required	Date for completion of Action	Target Risk Rating			Date of Review	Comment
							Impact	Likelihood	Score	or maron			Impact	Likelihood	Score		
1	Investment Strategy not aligned with Pension Liability Profile	Financial – Business as Usual	Pension Liabilities and asset attributes not understood and matched.	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed.	Service Manager	Triennial Asset Allocation Review after Valuation.	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow			4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
2	Investment Strategy not aligned with Pension Liability Profile	Financial – Business as Usual	Pension Liabilities and asset attributes not understood and matched.	Short Term – Insufficient Funds to Pay Pensions.	Service Manager	Monthly cash flow monitoring and retention of cash reserves.	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow			4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
3	Investment Strategy not aligned with Pension Liability Profile	Financial – Business as Usual	Poor understanding of Scheme Member choices.	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed. Short Term - Insufficient Funds to Pay Pensions.	Service Manager	Monthly cash flow monitoring and retention of cash reserves.	3	1	3	↔			3	1	3	June 2021	At Target
4	Under performance of asset managers or asset classes	Financial – Business as Usual	Loss of key staff and change of investment approach at Brunel or underlying Fund Managers.	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed.	Financial Manager	Quarterly assurance review with Brunel. Diversification of asset allocations.	3	2	6	\leftrightarrow			3	2	6	June 2021	At Target
5	Actual results vary to key financial assumptions in Valuation	Financial – Business as Usual	Market Forces	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed.	Service Manager	Actuarial model is based on 5,000 economic scenarios, rather than specific financial assumptions.	3	2	6	\leftrightarrow			3	2	6	June 2021	At Target
6	Under performance of pension investments due to ESG factors, including climate change.	Financial – Business Plan Objective	Failure to consider long term financial impact of ESG issues	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed.	Financial Manager	ESG Policy within Investment Strategy Statement requiring ESG factors to be considered in all investment decisions.	4	2	8	\leftrightarrow	Improve performance monitoring information on ESG scores within current investment portfolios, to identify any policy breaches by fund managers.	September 2021	4	1	4	June 2021	Proposals to Committee at September 2021 meeting on suite of metrics and exercise to set benchmark scores as at December 2019.

Ref	Risk	Risk Category	Cause	Impact	Risk Owner	Controls in Place to Mitigate Risk	Current	Risk Rating		RAG Status and Direction of Travel	Further Actions Required	Date for completion of Action	Target	Risk Rating		Date of Review	Comment
							Impact	Likelihood	Score				Impact	Likelihood	Score		
7	Loss of Funds through fraud or misappropriation.	Financial – Business as Usual	Poor Control Processes within Fund Managers and/or Custodian	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed	Financial Manage	Review of Annual Internal Controls Report from each Fund Manager. Clear separation of duties.	3	1	3	\leftrightarrow			3	1	3	June 2021	At Target
8	Employer Default - LGPS	Financial – Business as Usual	Market Forces, increased contribution rates, budget reductions.	Deficit Falls to be Met by Other Employers	Pension Services Manager	All new employers set up with ceding employing under-writing deficit, or bond put in place.	3	2	6	↓			3	2	6	June 2021	At Target
9	Inaccurate or out of date pension liability data – LGPS and FSPS	Financial & Administrative – Business Plan Objective	Late or Incomplete Returns from Employers	Errors in Pension Liability Profile impacting on Risks 1 and 2 above.	Pension Services Manager	Monitoring of Monthly returns	3	1	3	\leftrightarrow			3	1	3	June 2021	At Target
10	Inaccurate or out of date pension liability data – LGPS and FSPS	Administrative – Business Plan Objective	Late or Incomplete Returns from Employers	Late Payment of Pension Benefits.	Pension Services Manager	Monitoring of Monthly returns. Direct contact with employers on individual basis.	3	1	3	\leftrightarrow			3	1	3	June 2021	At Target
11	Inaccurate or out of date pension liability data – LGPS and FSPS	Administrative – Business Plan Objective	Late or Incomplete Returns from Employers	Improvement Notice and/or Fines issued by Pension Regulator.	Pension Services Manager	Monitoring of Monthly returns. Direct contact with employers on individual basis.	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow			4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
12	Insufficient resources to deliver responsibilities- LGPS and FSPS	Administrative – Business as Usual	Budget Reductions	Breach of Regulation	Service Manager	Annual Budget Review as part of Business Plan.	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow			4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
13	Insufficient Skills and Knowledge on Committee – LGPS and FSPS	Governance – Business Plan Objective	Poor Training Programme	Breach of Regulation. Loss of Professional Investor Status under MIFID II	Service Manager	Training Review	4	2	8	\leftrightarrow	Training Programme put in place on review of new Committee requirements.	September 2021	4	1	4	June 2021	Risk score retained above target whilst new members of the Committee are appointed and initial skills and knowledge assessment completed.
14	Insufficient Skills and Knowledge amongst – LGPS and FSPS Officers	Administrative – Business as Usual	Poor Training Programme and/or high staff turnover	Breach of Regulation and Errors in Payments	Service Manager	Training Plan. Control checklists.	3	2	6	\leftrightarrow			3	1	3	June 2021	Likelihood score above target due to numbers of new staff and pressure from pandemic conditions.

Ref	Risk	Risk Category	Cause	Impact	Risk Owner	Controls in Place to Mitigate Risk	Cur	rent Risk Raf	ting	RAG Status and Direction of Travel	Further Actions Required	Date for completion of Action	Ta	arget Risk Rat	ing	Date of Review	Comment
							Impact	Likelihood	Score				Impact	Likelihood	Score		
15	Key System Failure – LGPS and FSPS	Administrative – Business as Usual	Technical failure	Inability to process pension payments	Pension Services Manager	Disaster Recovery Programme	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow			4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
16	Breach of Data Security – LGPS and FSPS	Administrative – Business as Usual	Poor Controls	Breach of Regulation, including GDPR	Pension Services Manager	Security Controls, passwords etc. GDPR Privacy Policy.	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow			4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
17	Failure to Meet Government Requirements on Pooling	Governance – Business Plan Objective	Inability to agree proposals with other administering authorities.	Direct Intervention by Secretary of State	Service Manager	Full engagement within Brunel Partnership	5	1	5	\leftrightarrow	Review once Government publish revised pooling guidance.	TBC	5	1	5	June 2021	At Target
18	Failure of Pooled Vehicle to meet local objectives	Financial – Business Plan Objective	Sub-Funds agreed not consistent with our liability profile.	Long Term - Pension deficit not closed	Service Manager	Full engagement within Brunel Partnership	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow	Review in line of request for Paris Aligned Portfolios.	On-going	4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
19	Significant change in liability profile or cash flow as a consequence of Structural Changes	Financial – Business as Usual	Significant Transfers Out from the Oxfordshire Fund, leading to loss of current contributions income.	In sufficient cash to pay pensions requiring a change to investment strategy and an increase in employer contributions	Service Manager	Engagement with key projects to ensure impacts fully understood	4	1	4	\leftrightarrow	Need to Review in light of current Government consultation to switch HE and FE employers to Designating Bodies.	TBC	4	1	4	June 2021	At Target
20	Insufficient Resource and/or Data to comply with consequences of McCloud Judgement	Administrative – Business Plan Objective	Significant requirement to retrospectively re-calculate member benefits	Breach of Regulation and Errors in Payments	Pension Services Manager	Engagement through SAB/LGA to understand potential implications and regular communications with scheme employers about potential retrospective data requirements.	4	3	12	\leftrightarrow	Establish project plan. Respond to consultation, and work with SAB to seek guidance on mitigating key risks where data not available. Look to bring in additional resources.	On-Going	2	2	4	Feb 2021	Awaiting Government response to consultation exercise on new Regulations to assess full impact.
21	Legal Challenge on basis of age discrimination in Firefighters Pension Schemes	Legal & Administrative – Business Plan Objective	Pressure from Fire Brigades Union to act in advance of new Regulations	Court Order to deliver remedy		Seeking to follow consistent approach in line with Scheme Advisory Board guidance.	4	3	12	New	Legal Advice to be received, National Framework to be published by Scheme Advisory Board. Local Policy determined.	September 2021	4	1	4	June 2021	New risk due to increased litigation by Fire Brigades Union.

Ref	Risk	Risk Category	Cause	Impact	Risk Owner	Controls in Place to Mitigate Risk	Current Risk Rating		RAG Status and Direction of Travel	Further Actions Required	Date for completion of Action				Date of Review	Comment	
							Impact	Likelihood	Score				Impact	Likelihood	Score		
22	Loss of strategic direction	Governance – Business Plan Objective	Loss of key person	Short term lack of direction on key strategic issues			3	2	6	New	Review structure to strengthen governance and communication functions	December 2021	2	2	1	June 2021	Risk as identified in the independent governance review by Hymans Robertson